UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,479	02/26/2004	Nobuhiro Ohkubo	204552031400	3112
Dawe E. Duata	7590 04/24/2007		EXAM	IINER
Barry E. Bretschneider Morrison & Foerster LLP			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
Suite 300 1650 Tysons B	Roulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 2		:	2828	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			TA /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,479	OHKUBO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner W	Art Unit	
	Tod T. Van Roy	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Peply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 F	ebruary 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	·	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,18,19,21 and 22</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,18,19,21 and 22</u> is/are reject	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• •
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			2440
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	;
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of II	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/01/2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges the amending of claims 1, 6, and 7, as well as the cancellation of claims 4, 5, 16, 17, and 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,479

Art Unit: 2828

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2, 6-8, 18, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazumasa et al. (applicant submitted prior art, JP 2002-026450) in view of Ohkubo (US 2002/0126723).

With respect to claims 1 and 6, Kazumasa teaches a semiconductor laser device which is made from AlGaInP based material (defined in spec as being GaInP or AlGaInP, [0038]) comprising: a first clad layer of a first conductivity type (AlGaInP) [0025]), an active layer ([0028]) and a second clad layer of a second conductivity type (AlGainP [0031]) that are formed over a semiconductor substrate ([0021]), wherein a portion of said active layer in an area near a laser resonator end face has a peak wavelength in photoluminescence (PL) that is smaller than a peak wavelength in PL in a portion of said active layer in a laser resonator inner area ([0019]), and the second clad layer of the second conductivity type located in the area near a laser resonator end face contains As atoms (fig.2 #24, As taught as an impurity source [0045]). Kazumasa does not teach impurity atoms having the second conductivity type to be found in the second clad layer in the inner area or the end face, and of group-II with an atomic number less than that of P. Ohkubo teaches a similar disordered region device wherein the entire second clad layer is doped with Be (group-II atomic number less than P) ([0213]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the structure of Kazumasa with the clad layer doping of Ohkubo in order to prevent overflow of carriers from the active layer and improve crystallinity of the active layer ([0231], when combined with Kazumasa's annealing).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,479

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 2, Kazumasa further teaches the As atom concentration in the second clad layer of the second conductivity type in the area near a laser resonator end face is higher than an As atom concentration in the second clad layer of the second conductivity type in the laser resonator inner area (fig.2, As implant only on edges, so area near resonator would inherently have more As than a central portion of the laser resonator).

With respect to claims 7 and 18, Ohkubo further teaches the Be doping to be 1x10^18 ([0233]).

With respect to claim 8, Kazumasa further teaches a GaAs contact layer of the second conductivity type formed over the clad layer of the second conductivity type in the area near a laser resonator end face and the laser resonator inner area (fig.3 #29, GaAs [0060]), and a GaInP intermediate layer of the second conductivity type formed between the second clad layer of the second conductivity type and the GaAs contact layer of the second conductivity type in the laser resonator inner area (fig.3 #25, InGaP [0035]).

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected for the same reasons outlined in the rejection to claim 8 above.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyohisa et al. (applicant submitted prior art, JP 09-326526) in view of Ohkubo (US . 2002/0126723).

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 1, Kiyohisa teaches a semiconductor laser device which is made from AlGaInP based material (defined in spec as being GaInP or AlGaInP, [0038]) comprising: a first clad layer of a first conductivity type (fig.1 #3 InGaP [0008]), an active layer (fig.1 #4 [0008]) and a second clad layer of a second conductivity type (fig.1 #5 [0008]) that are formed over a semiconductor substrate (fig.1 #1 [0008]), wherein a portion of said active layer in an area near a laser resonator end face has a peak wavelength in photoluminescence (PL) that is smaller than a peak wavelength in PL in a portion of said active layer in a laser resonator inner area ([0003-5] disordering around edges leads to lower PL wavelength when compared to non-disordered inner laser resonator portion), and the second clad layer of the second conductivity type located in the area near a laser resonator end face contains As atoms (fig.1 #5, As taught as an impurity source [0004]). Kiyohisa does not teach impurity atoms having the second conductivity type to be found in the second clad layer in the inner area or the end face, and of group-II with an atomic number less than that of P. Ohkubo teaches a similar disordered region device wherein the entire second clad layer is doped with Be (group-II atomic number less than P) ([0213]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the structure of Kazumasa with the clad layer doping of Ohkubo in order to prevent overflow of carriers from the active layer and improve crystallinity of the active layer ([0231], when combined with Kazumasa's annealing).

With respect to claim 2, Kiyohisa teaches the As atom concentration in the second clad layer of the second conductivity type in the area near a laser resonator end

Application/Control Number: 10/786,479

Art Unit: 2828

face is higher than an As atom concentration in the second clad layer of the second conductivity type in the laser resonator inner area (fig.1, As implant only on edges, so area near resonator would inherently have more As than a central portion of the laser resonator).

With respect to claim 9, Kiyohisa discloses a GaAs current non-injection layer of the second conductivity type is formed over the second clad layer of the second conductivity type in the area near a laser resonator end face (fig.3 #27).

Claims 3 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazumasa and Ohkubo in view of Ueno et al. (EPO 0437243A2).

With respect to claim 3, Kazumasa and Ohkubo teach the semiconductor laser device as outlined in the rejection to claim 1 above, but do not teach the As implant concentration to be between 1E18 and 1E20. Ueno teaches a semiconductor laser device with disordered regions wherein the implant concentration is taught to be 1E17 or greater (col.4 line 2) and of As (col.6 lines 9-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the laser device and As implant of Kazumasa and Ohkubo with the As implant concentration level of Ueno in order to allow for a high amount of diffusion and disordering of the active region to sufficiently increase the bandgap energy (Ueno, col.4 lines 1-5).

With respect to claim 19, Kazumasa, Ohkubo and Ueno teach the laser device as outlined in the rejection to claim 3 above, and Kazumasa further teaches a GaAs contact layer of the second conductivity type formed over the clad layer of the second

area (fig.3 #25, InGaP [0035]).

conductivity type in the area near a laser resonator end face and the laser resonator inner area (fig.3 #29, GaAs [0060]), and a GaInP intermediate layer of the second conductivity type formed between the second clad layer of the second conductivity type and the GaAs contact layer of the second conductivity type in the laser resonator inner

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7

TVR